	Case 2:24-cv-01601-JAM-CSK Docume	ent 2 Filed 06/13/24 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		No. 2:24-cv-01558-JAM-CSK	
12	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-01559-JAM-CSK	
13		No. 2:24-cv-01560-JAM-CSK	
14		No. 2:24-cv-01561-JAM-CSK	
15		No. 2:24-cv-01600-JAM-CSK	
16		No. 2:24-cv-01601-JAM-CSK	
17		No. 2:24-cv-01602-JAM-CSK	
18		No. 2:24-cv-01603-JAM-CSK	
19		No. 2:24-cv-01604-JAM-CSK	
20		No. 2:24-cv-01605-JAM-CSK	
21			
22			
23			
24		ORDER	
25			
26			
27	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the		
28	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious		
	1		

1	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any		
2	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in		
3	Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.		
4	13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of		
5	the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for		
6	review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's		
7	Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (<u>Id.</u>)		
8	The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and		
9	finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. ¹		
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01558, 2:24-cv-01559, 2:24-cv-		
11	01560, 2:24-cv-01561, 2:24-cv-01600, 2:24-cv-01601, 2:24-cv-01602, 2:24-cv-01603, 2:24-cv-		
12	1604 and 2:24-cv-01605 are DISMISSED ; the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close these		
13	cases. No further filings will be accepted.		
14	D . 1 I . 12 2024		
15	Dated: June 13, 2024 /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ		
16	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-01558, plaintiff names the Inyo County Superior Court. In the caption		

of 2:24-cv-01560, plaintiff names the Tulare County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-01558 and 2:24-cv-01560 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.